# NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

Training Room 143, 12th Floor

100 Church Street, New York, New York

October 25, 2018

9:25 A.M. to 9:57 A.M.

#### MEMBERS PRESENT:

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

### Joseph Gregory, Esq. - NYC Fire Department (FDNY)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY) Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH)

# Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Douglas Swann - Appointed Member

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Quiana Battle - Computer Service Technician, OATH

# Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner for Hearings Division-Adjudications, OATH

Svetlana Goryacheva - Business Integrity Commission (BIC) Susan Kassapian, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Appeals, OATH Richard LaPlant - Office of Management and Budget Tynia Richard, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

## Simone Salloum, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Assistant Director for Appeals, OATH

Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division,

OATH

Olga Statz, Esq. - Deputy General Counsel, OATH

October 25, 2018	Page 3
INDEX	
	Page
Tynia Richard, Esq.	4
Simone Salloum, Esq.	4
Russell Pecunies, Esq.	7
Kelly Corso, Esq.	11
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq.	23
Joseph Gregory, Esq.	24

1	October 25, 2018
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:35
3	A.M.)
4	TYNIA RICHARD, ESQ., DEPUTY
5	COMMISSIONER/GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH: Good
6	morning, everyone. I am Tynia Richard, Deputy
7	Commissioner/General Counsel at OATH, designated
8	by the Chair to conduct today's, or preside in
9	today's meeting. I'd like to call the meeting to
10	order. Today is October 25, 2018. Alright. So,
11	first item on the agenda are the minutes. Is
12	there a motion to adopt the minutes for the
13	August 23rd meeting?
14	JOSEPH GREGORY, ESQ., NYC FIRE
15	DEPARTMENT: I abstain.
16	MS. RICHARD: Okay. One abstention.
17	DOUGLAS SWANN, APPOINTED MEMBER:
18	Abstain.
19	MS. RICHARD: Two abstentions. Okay,
20	the motion carries. Number 2 on the agenda is
21	introduction of OATH ECB's final rule repealing
22	the sewer control penalty schedule.
23	SIMONE SALLOUM, ESQ., SENIOR COUNSEL,
24	OATH: Good morning. Simone Salloum, Senior

2.1

Counsel at OATH, and we have two rules for your consideration today. The first one is the final rule, repealing the Sewer Control Penalty

Schedule, which is currently found in Section 3
123 of subchapter G of Chapter 3, Title 48, of the Rules of the City of New York.

So, we proposed the rule repeal in the City Record. It was published in the City Record on August 31, 2018. We had a public hearing on October 3, 2018. No one attended or testified at the public hearing. We didn't receive any written comments, so the rule is unchanged as a, as a, as the version that was proposed. So this penalty schedule contains penalties for summonses issued by the Department of Environmental Protection for violations of Chapter 5 of Title 24 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, and Chapter 19 of Title 15 of the Rules of the City of New York.

DEP is promulgating their own sewer control penalty schedule. I believe that was discussed at the last meeting in terms of the changes that DEP is undertaking in terms of the

2.1

storm water violations that will be added.

So, if anyone has any questions, I'm happy to answer. No?

MS. RICHARD: Alright. Is there a motion to approve? Okay, there's a motion. And the vote? Six, and seven in favor. And oppose? Two opposing.

MS. SALLOUM: Okay. The second rule is the final rule repealing the Community Right-to-Know Penalty Schedule, which is found in Section 3-104 of Subchapter G of Chapter 3 of Title 48 of the Rules of the City of New York. The proposed rule repeal was published in the City Record on August 31, 2018, and a public hearing was held on October 3, 2018. No one attended or testified at the public hearing concerning this rule repeal and we didn't receive any written comments.

This is the penalty schedule for violations of the Community Right-to-Know Law, codified in Chapter 7 of Title 24 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York and the Department of Environmental Protection is promulgating a related rule, adding a very

2.1

October 25, 2018

similar Community Right-to-Know penalty schedule

to Chapter 41 of Title 15 of the Rules of the

City of New York.

Any questions?

MS. RICHARD: Okay, motion to approve?

And vote in favor? One, two, three, four -okay, seven in favor. And those opposing?

That's two.

MS. SALLOUM: Thank you.

MS. RICHARD: Alright. So we now have DEP's request for cease and desist orders.

RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: Alright, thank you.
Hi, good morning. My name is Russell Pecunies.
I'm with the Bureau of Legal Affairs at the
Department of Environmental Protection. So the
first thing for this meeting is that, at the last
meeting, DEP made a request for a cease and
desist order pursuant to the Sewer Code, which
was for ESG Grand Corp., which I believe was a
grease trap related series of violations. The
Board approved the request for a cease and desist
order. Between the Board's approving the request

2.1

2.2

for the cease and desist order and the issuance of the order, before the order could be issued, the respondent came into compliance. So the order did not have to be issued and was not issued. And although that's never happened before, I understand that the procedure would be for us to now ask the Board to approve the withdrawal of that cease and desist order request.

MS. RICHARD: Okay. So the motion is made. Those voting in favor? Okay, that's, that's eight. Alright, the motion carries.

MS. PECUNIES: Secondly, I would like to just update the Board with regard to a matter that's been discussed at a couple of the recent meetings relating to a shutoff, water shutoff request for a hotel in Brooklyn.

So there have been a number of meetings with Commissioner Sapienza and with staff from the Bureau of Water and Sewer Operations. What we have decided to do is to identify a number of purely commercial locations that have defaulted on cease and desist orders for not installing

1

October 25, 2018

2

backflow devices.

The cease and desist order provides that, if the respondent defaults as to the hearing, that the Department can terminate the water service without any further process or approval. And we are identifying at, as a, as a test group, sort of -- the guinea pigs, as it were -- ten locations that are high hazard, purely commercial locations that have defaulted on their cease and desist orders, and we're going to do the water shutoffs at those ten locations first as sort of a test group. There are then several dozen additional commercial locations that are in default as to cease and desist orders and we're going to work our way through that We will serve warning notices, we will list. send a crew to mark the sidewalk, and if none of that gets them to, to comply, we will then proceed, since these are purely commercial locations, to terminate the water service.

2122

The Commissioner appreciates and understands that we really, as a practical matter, cannot terminate the water to residential

24

23

2.1

2.2

locations, which this hotel that we have been discussing, although it is officially a hotel, is being considered a residential location. The plan currently is to address those by the issuance of repeated summonses and the imposition of repeated fines until they come into compliance, since we can't really go forward with water shutoffs on those locations.

So that's the update on the enforcement of the backflow cease and desist orders. So if anyone has any questions about, about that?

So, for this meeting, the Department has 59 requests for new cease and desist orders for failure to comply with backflow prevention requirements. In each of these cases, the property owner has been ordered to comply, has failed to do so, has received a summons for failing to do so. That summons has been adjudicated in violation or they have defaulted on it. Each of these respondents is still not in compliance. And so, in each of these cases, the Department is asking the Board to issue an order to cease and desist.

1	October 25, 2018
2	MS. RICHARD: Okay, is there a motion to
3	approve? Okay. And votes in favor? Okay.
4	ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED
5	MEMBER: These are and it's just for backflow,
6	right?
7	MR. PECUNIES: This is backflow.
8	MS. RICHARD: Okay, all in favor, one
9	abstain.
10	MR. PECUNIES: And, then
11	MS. SALLOUM: Did you abstain?
12	MS. RICHARD: Do you
13	MR. PECUNIES: No.
14	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
15	MR. PECUNIES: Alright. And, then, we
16	have three requests for cease and desist orders
17	pursuant to the Noise Code.
18	The first one is G&L Restaurant, LLC,
19	located at 21 West 8th Street in Manhattan. This
20	establishment has been cited on three occasions
21	this year for excessive noise from its kitchen
22	exhaust. And based on the repeated summonses and
23	continuing failure to comply, the Department is
24	asking the Board to issue an order to cease and

1	October 25, 2018
2	desist.
3	MS. KNAUER: I, I have, I have a
4	question.
5	MR. PECUNIES: Mm-hmm?
6	MS. KNAUER: I, when I was that one
7	and the third one, is there some relationship
8	between those? Because
9	MR. PECUNIES: Which? Between this one
10	and
11	MS. KNAUER: That one I'm, I'm sorry
12	that I didn't print them out, but the one that
13	you just referred to and the third one, it, it,
14	it almost seemed like it was the same.
15	MR. PECUNIES: The two, between two of
16	the three noise ones?
17	MS. KNAUER: Yeah.
18	MR. PECUNIES: And the other noise one
19	is 62 West 9th Street.
20	MS. KNAUER: There are three noise
21	right, exactly. Those are the two
22	MR. PECUNIES: So, this is West 8th and
23	the other one is West 9th.
24	MS. KNAUER: Right. But it, it almost

2.1

seemed, and it -- but they were the same date, the last, you know, the, the most, the most recent violation. It almost seemed like it was the same complainant and they were, they saw two potential sources, both of which had been issued violations before. So I, I'm, you know, making a --

MR. PECUNIES: One of them is an establishment, based on their liquor license, that's called Loring Place. And the other one --

MS. KNAUER: No, no, the, the establishments were different.

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah.

MS. KNAUER: But, but what I'm asking about was, were they in the same apartment and they saw, the same complaining, complainant's apartment and they saw two potential noise sources, one on 8th Street and one on 9th Street?

MR. PECUNIES: Mm-hmm.

MS. KNAUER: Do you see what I'm saying?

Because it was the same day and the, and these -
I didn't Google Map the locations, but I, I guess

my question is, do -- are we sure that this isn't

1	October 25, 2018
2	a case of it's either one or the other? But, I
3	mean
4	MR. PECUNIES: Well, I mean, that could
5	have been a defense that they raised at hearings
6	if they felt that there was some other
7	MS. KNAUER: But they wouldn't know.
8	How would they know that somebody else was issued
9	a violation?
10	MR. PECUNIES: No, but people come in
11	all the time and say that, that, that it's not my
12	kitchen
13	MS. KNAUER: But, how would they
14	MR. PECUNIES: exhaust, it's the
15	restaurant across the street.
16	AMY SLIFKA, ESQ., DEPUTY
17	COMMISSIONER/HEARINGS DIVISION, OATH: Right.
18	MS. KNAUER: But this isn't across the
19	street. This is on, this is on the block behind.
20	So I don't know that I'm, I just, I feel like
21	it's one thing that
22	MS. SLIFKA: Yeah, but I think so
23	Russ, correct me if I'm wrong. They turn off the
24	one, they turn off the don't they turn off the

1	October 25, 2018
2	exhaust, get the ambient and then turn it back
3	on?
4	MR. PECUNIES: No, well yeah, the way
5	that they take the readings
6	MS. SLIFKA: Right.
7	MR. PECUNIES: is, is that the, the
8	equipment that, at this specific establishment,
9	is turned on and off. So the, the
10	MS. SLIFKA: That
11	MR. PECUNIES: the equipment at all
12	other establishments is part of the ambient.
13	MS. SLIFA: Right.
14	MS. KNAUER: So it's just a, a
15	coincidence?
16	MR. PECUNIES: That these are two so
17	close together?
18	MS. KAUER: On the same day.
19	MR. PECUNIES: I suppose.
20	MS. SLIFKA: But if they take the
21	ambient reading with the one on and it's still
22	above the allowable level with the one off, then
23	it's still, you know
24	[CROSSTALK]
	П

1	October 25, 2018
2	MR. PECUNIES: I mean, none, none of
3	these inspections were done on the same dates.
4	They, there were three for West 8th Street and
5	three for West 9th Street and they were done on -
6	_
7	MS. KNAUER: Okay.
8	MR. PECUNIES: six different dates.
9	MS. KNAUER: Alright, I'm sorry. I was
10	wrong. They weren't the same day.
11	MS. SLIFKA: Yeah.
12	MS. KNAUER: I thought there were two
13	that, I thought they were the same date.
14	MS. SLIFKA: Plus, the ambient level
15	would show.
16	MS. KNAUER: Okay, I'm sorry. So it's
17	just a, it's just a coincidence that they were so
18	close together.
19	MR. PECUNIES: That they're right.
20	MS. KNAUER: Okay.
21	MR. PECUNIES: So close to each other,
22	yeah.
23	MS. KNAUER: Okay, okay. Sorry about
24	that.

	Pago 1
1	Page 1 October 25, 2018
2	MR. PECUNIES: That's okay.
3	MS. RICHARD: Alright, so
4	MR. PECUNIES: So have we voted on the
5	first one yet, or?
6	MS. RICHARD: No, we have not voted.
7	MR. PECUNIES: Okay.
8	MS. RICHARD: Is there a motion? Okay.
9	In favor? Alright, so we've got the whole thing.
10	MR. PECUNIES: And the second one is at
11	62 West 9th Street, which is VL62 West 9th
12	Street, LLC, doing business as, I believe, Casa
13	Apicii. And this one has also been cited for
14	excessive noise from the kitchen exhaust on three
15	different occasions this year. And, again due to
16	the repeated violations and continuing failure to
17	come into compliance, DEP is asking the Board to
18	issue an order to cease and desist.
19	MS. RICHARD: Okay, is there any
20	questions? Okay, a motion on that one. In
21	favor? Okay, we have the vote.
22	MR. PECUNIES: And the third one is for
23	35-33 36th Street Corp., located at that address
24	in Queens. This is an establishment in Long

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Island City that's known as Studio Square. has a large outdoor beer garden type area where there are both live bands and DJs. This has been the subject of many, many complaints during the summer months from people in the neighborhood. And although normally, we do not ask for cease and desist orders for music because they can basically just change the sound system, because it's specific to this equipment, because of the persistence of the complaints with regard to this establishment, we have cited them three times. Again, it's a seasonal thing, so one of them is in '16, one of them is in '17 and one of them is in '18. But because this location is a problem every summer and they don't seem to be willing to do anything to address it, the Department is asking that the Board issue an order to cease and desist.

MS. KNAUER: As a practical matter, how -- now that it's not summer anymore, how will that, how will that work?

MR. PECUNIES: You know, this is, again, it, it's something that -- I mean I've, I've been

1	October 25, 2018
2	involved in a couple of the hearings for this
3	MS. KNAUER: Uh-huh.
4	MR. PECUNIES: and they just take the
5	position that these concerts and DJ things that
6	they have during the summer draw huge crowds.
7	And, if it's too noisy on that night, they don't
8	care. They're not going to do anything about it.
9	It's just for one night and people have to deal
10	with it. That's been their attitude at the
11	hearings that I've been in.
12	MS. KNAUER: No, but I mean if you just
13	
14	MR. PECUNIES: No, with a situation like
15	this, it's not a mechanical problem. It can
16	always be addressed by just lowering the level
17	MS. KNAUER: Uh-huh.
18	MR. PECUNIES: of the music and
19	putting a limiter on the sound system so that it
20	can't be turned up beyond a level that will be in
21	violation at the adjoining premises. But
22	MS. KNAUER: I, I mean, I guess
23	MR. PECUNIES: I mean, a- a- again,
24	it would it more than likely these events

1	October 25, 2018
2	are over now for the season
3	MS. KNAUER: Right, right.
4	MR. PECUNIES: and will not be, we
5	probably won't get any complaints about the place
6	until May. But, since we did issue a third
7	violation in the beginning of September, which is
8	still pending, and, again, since their history
9	is, is that they have not been willing to do
10	anything to address this, the, they, they felt
11	that we should ask, at this point, for a cease
12	and desist order to at least get them to come in
13	and, and tell a hearing officer what they're
14	going to do about this for next season.
15	MS. SLIFKA: Okay, okay.
16	MS. RICHARD: Okay. Any other
17	questions? Okay, motion to approve? Alright.
18	We have unanimous votes in favor.
19	MR. PECUNIES: Okay. Thank you very
20	much.
21	MS. RICHARD: Thank you, Russ. Alright.
22	And now we have DEP's request for pre-sealing
23	reports.
24	KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT

1 October 25, 2018 2 COMMISSIONER FOR HEARINGS DIVISION, ADJUDICATIONS: Good morning. Kelly Corso, 3 4 Assistant Commissioner for Adjudications -5 Hearings Division. And we have 16 pre-sealing reports today for the Board. They all involved 6 7 backflow cases. And, in 15 of them, the hearing 8 officers are recommending no sealing or other 9 action based on the compliance that the 10 respondents have presented at the hearings. And, 11 in the remaining case, the hearing officer is 12 agreeing with the DEP's recommendation that the 13 C&D proceeding be discontinued, because DEP has 14 verified that a backflow device is no longer 15 needed at the premises. And that's all I have. 16 MS. RICHARD: Alright. And is there a 17 motion to approve? Those in favor? Okay, thank 18 you, Kelly. Alright. So is there a motion to go 19 into Executive Session to discuss the judicial 20 report? Okay, motion carried. 2.1 [OFF THE RECORD] 2.2 [ON THE RECORD] 23 MS. RICHARD: Alright. So, in addition 24 to the judicial review that was discussed in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Executive Session, I had posed the question of panel composition since, because we have three appointed members currently on the Board and the, over the last while, the number of cases that are being reviewed by the panels have increased significantly and, therefore, the workload placed on the appointed members, who are two to each panel, has increased substantially. We were interested in posing the question, at least the Chair was, posing the question of whether or not the, the members of the Board were interested in considering a different panel composition. the one proposal that we put before the Board is making the panel one appointed member with one ex officio member.

And, so, the question was whether or not the appointed members, in particular, would be interested in that, in doing that in, on a temporary basis. And so, as a result of that discussion, which basically was focused on Ms.

Knauer and Mr. Swann, because it really is up to them as to whether or not they think it would be helpful to them.

2.1

2.2

And, so, the impression is that, that
was favorable toward them. Although I want to be
clear that there is no requirement for anyone to
vote on anything today, because we didn't put
this on the agenda formally. But it's my
impression that, because of the number of panels
that are pending before our next meeting, which
would be December, and the caseload expected,
that the appointed members, in particular, may be
interested in voting in favor of a temporary
recomposition. So I will leave that to Ms.
Knauer and Mr. Swann to comment.

MS. KNAUER: I'll, I'll make the motion to vote on having a temporary composition of two panel members.

MS. RICHARD: One appointed and one ex officio?

MS. KNAUER: Right. At, at least until the next meeting. And if Tom Shpetner is here then, if he has --maybe you know, we can put it on the agenda for further discussion --

MS. RICHARD: Yes.

MS. KNAUER: -- for the next meeting.

	Daga 2
1	Page 2 October 25, 2018
2	MS. RICHARD: Sure.
3	MS. KNAUER: But I would, I would make a
4	motion to vote on it for at least for the, for
5	this period of time, that that be the
6	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
7	MS. KNAUER: protocol.
8	MS. RICHARD: Alright, any questions,
9	anyone? Okay.
10	MR. GREGORY: Jo
11	MS. RICHARD: so there's a motion.
12	Yeah?
13	MR. GREGORY: Alright. Joseph Gregory
14	for the Fire Department. So, I just want to make
15	sure that the temporary period is from now until
16	the next Board meeting?
17	MS. RICHARD: Until the next Board
18	meeting and we'll put it on the agenda again.
19	MR. GREGORY: Okay.
20	MS. RICHARD: Okay? Any other comments?
21	Okay. So the motion, then, is posed to
22	temporarily change the composition as described.
23	Those members in favor? Okay. Any opposed? No
24	opposing. Alright, thank you very much. I want
	n

1	October 25, 2018
2	to, then, confirm. We talked about a December 13
3	date for the next meeting. That'll put us in
4	advance of the holiday, holidays enough so that
5	it doesn't interfere. Is that still good for
6	everyone?
7	MS.KNAUER: Yes.
8	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
9	MR.MARTINEZ: I have a question as far
10	as process. To get into this building, we were
11	told that passes will be preprinted. They never
12	are. So, I mean, is there anything can be done
13	about that?
14	MS. RICHARD: I will ask that question.
15	PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ., ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
16	FOR APPEALS, OATH: Which entrance did you try?
17	MS. KNAUER: The one that we are told to
18	do.
19	[CROSSTALK]
20	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
21	MADELYNN LIQUORI, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
22	SANITATION: Well, I got one with an old picture,
23	so I don't know if it was preprinted or if they
24	printed it then.

1	October 25, 2018
2	SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF
3	BUILDINGS: They printed it today.
4	MS. LIGUORI: Oh, they did print it
5	today?
6	MS.GRAHAM: I have the old picture.
7	MS. LIQUORI: Because okay. Because
8	I was like, I'm not wearing this today.
9	MS.GRAHAM: But it's faster. It was
10	faster.
11	MS.LIQUORI: Yeah, yeah.
12	MS. RICHARD: Alright. Alright, so I'll
13	we will inquire. We also took a vote at the
14	last meeting or you guys did, I wasn't here
15	on the Rule 3-15 of the Procedural Rules
16	regarding the superseding appeals.
17	MS. KNAUER: Oh, right, yeah.
18	MS. RICHARD: Right? We wound up having
19	subsequent discussions about the redraft and we
20	came up with another proposal. Again, we didn't
21	complete it. It's sufficiently advanced. But we
22	do have copies of the memo and we'll put it on
23	the agenda for the December meeting. We'll give
24	you copies of our, the memo that we've drafted.

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Fei Deng, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on October 25, 2018, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Cerlly

Date: November 20, 2018

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018